R608. Labor Commission, Antidiscrimination and Labor, Fair Housing.

R608-1. Utah Fair Housing Rules.

R608-1-1. Authority and Purpose.

Pursuant to Section 57-21-8(2)(a), the Utah Labor Commission adopts this rule to establish the procedures necessary to implement the Utah Fair Housing Act.

R608-1-2. Definitions.

The following definitions are in addition to the definitions set forth in Section 57-21-2 of the Utah Fair Housing Act

- A. "Act" means the Utah Fair Housing Act, Chapter 21, Title 57.
- B. "Commissioner" means the Commissioner of the Utah Labor Commission.
- C. "Complaint" means an allegation of an unlawful housing practice, filed with the Division in compliance with these rules. "Complaint" includes amended or supplemental complaints.
- D. "Court" means the district court in the judicial district of the state of Utah in which the asserted unfair housing practice occurred, or if this court is not in session at that time, then any judge of any court.
- E. "Unlawful housing practice" means any discriminatory housing practice prohibited by the Act.

R608-1-3. Reliance on State and Federal Precedent.

The Division and Commission will consider relevant State and Federal precedent in interpreting and applying the Act.

R608-1-4. Computation of Time Limits.

- A. A Determination, Order, or Notice required by the Act or this rule is deemed issued on the date on the face of the Determination, Order or Notice.
- B. A complaint, response, request for reconsideration, or election is considered to be "filed" on the date it is received by the Division or Commission, whether by mail or by personal delivery. Each such document shall be date stamped by Division staff on the date of receipt.
- C. In computing any period of time prescribed or allowed by these rules or by applicable statute:
- 1. The day of the act, event, finding, or default, or the date an Order is issued, shall not be included;
- 2. The last day of the period so computed shall be included, unless it is a Saturday, a Sunday, or a state legal holiday, in which event the period runs until the end of the next working day;
- 3. When the period of time prescribed is less than seven days, intermediate Saturdays, Sundays, and state legal holidays shall be excluded in the computation;
 - 4. No additional time for mailing will be allowed.

R608-1-5. Designation of Proceedings as Informal-Exception.

A. All proceedings pursuant to the Act and this rule are hereby designated as informal adjudicatory proceedings for purposes of the Utah Administrative Procedures Act, Title 63, Chapter 46b, except that proceedings before the Commission's Adjudication Division for

de novo review of the Director's Determination and Order are formal proceedings.

B. Court proceedings are subject to the court's rules of procedure.

R608-1-6. Complaints-Filing-Time Limits-Amendment and Withdrawal.

- A. Any person aggrieved by an unlawful housing practice may file a complaint with the Division.
- 1. The complaint must be in the form designated by the Division and verified by the complainant.
- 2. The complaint shall contain the complainant's concise statement setting forth, to the extent reasonably possible, the following information:
- a. The specific basis for complainant's belief that an unlawful housing practice has occurred, with relevant dates, places and the names of any individual participating in the alleged unlawful housing practice;
- b. The specific basis for the complainant's belief that the alleged conduct is subject to the Act; and the dates and places of such unlawful housing practices;
- c. The specific damages the complainant believes he or she has suffered as a result of the unlawful housing practice.
- B. Division staff shall be available during normal business hours to provide reasonable assistance to complainants in completing and filing complaints.
- C. Pursuant to Section 57-21-9(1), the complaint must be filed with the Division within 180 days after the alleged unlawful housing practice occurred.
- D. The Director shall permit a complaint to be reasonably and fairly amended or supplemented, either by the Division or by the complainant, in order to accomplish the purpose of the Act. Such amendment or supplement may include additional respondents identified in the investigation as persons engaged in the unlawful housing practice on which the complaint is based. Procedures for filing and processing an amended or supplemental complaint shall be the same as for filing an original complaint.
- E. With the Director's approval, a complainant may withdraw a complaint at any time by submitting a signed request for withdrawal to the Division.

R608-1-7. Notice Requirements.

- A. Within ten days of the filing of a complaint, the Division shall provide notice by registered mail to the complainant, including:
 - 1. The date the complaint was filed with the Division;
 - 2. A copy of the complaint;
- 3. The time limits applicable to the complaint and investigation process;
- 4. A statement of the complainant's rights and obligations under the Act;
- 5. A statement of the complainant's right to commence a private civil action in state or federal court, with a statement of applicable time limits for commencing such action;
- 6. A statement advising the complainant that retaliation against any person, or individual associated with that person, who

is filing, testifying, assisting, or participating in an investigation, conciliation or administrative proceeding, is a discriminatory housing practice prohibited by the Act; and

- 7. A statement, if applicable, that the terms of any rental agreement remain in effect.
- B. Within ten days of the filing of a complaint, the Division shall provide notice by registered mail to the respondent, which notice shall include:
- 1. Identification of the alleged unlawful housing practice on which the complaint is based;
 - 2. The date the complaint was filed with the Division;
 - 3. A copy of the complaint;
- 4. A statement of time limits applicable to the complaint and investigation process;
- 5. A statement of the respondent's rights and obligations under the Act, including respondent's obligation to submit a response to the complaint, as required by R608-1-8.
- 6. A statement informing the respondent of the complainant's right to commence a private civil action in state or federal court, with a statement of applicable time limits for commencing such action;
- 7. A statement advising the respondent that retaliation against any person, or individual associated with that person, who is filing a complaint, testifying, assisting, or participating in an investigation, conciliation, or administrative proceeding, is a discriminatory housing practice prohibited by the Act.

R608-1-8. Response to Complaint.

- A. A respondent shall file a signed response to the complaint with the Division within 10 days from the date of the notice required by R608-1-7.B.
- B. The response must address each allegation contained in the complaint, including any available and relevant data and information regarding respondent's business practices.
- C. Division staff shall be available during normal business hours to provide reasonable assistance to respondents in completing and filing responses.
- D. Failure to file a response may result in the Division concluding its investigation based on information provided by the complainant and such other information as is reasonably available to the Division. Alternatively, the Commission may use its subpoena powers to compel production of the information required by this rule.

R608-1-9. Investigation-Report.

- A. Within 30 days of the filing of a complaint, the Division shall commence proceedings to throughly investigate and, if possible, conciliate the complaint.
- B. The Division shall complete its investigation within 100 days after filing of a complaint. If the Division is unable to do, it shall notify the parties in writing of the reason for the delay.
- C. The Division may, with reasonable notice to the parties, conduct on-site visits, interviews, and fact-finding conferences, and take such other action as is reasonably necessary to investigate the complaint. Pursuant to Section 57-21-8(2)(c) of the Act, the Commission may issue subpoenas to compel production of necessary

evidence. Additionally, a party's unjustified failure to cooperate with the Division's reasonable investigative requests may result in the Division concluding its investigation based on such other information as is available to the Division.

- D. The Division shall prepare a final investigative report on each complaint, which shall include:
- 1. A summary of all contacts with complainants and respondents, including the dates of such contacts;
- 2. A summary of contacts with witnesses, including the dates of contact; and
 - 3. A summary of pertinent records.

R608-1-10. Determination.

- A. On completion of the investigation, the Director shall review the investigative report and determine whether reasonable cause exists to believe that an unlawful housing practice has occurred.
- B. If the Director finds no reasonable cause to believe that an unlawful housing practice has occurred, the Director shall issue a determination dismissing the complaint. The complainant may then take such other action as described in R608-1-12.
- C. If the Director finds reasonable cause to believe that an unlawful housing practice has occurred, the Director shall take such further action as described in Rule R608-1-13.

R608-1-11. Conciliation.

- A. During the period beginning with the filing of the complaint and ending with the Director's determination, the Division shall, to the extent feasible, engage in conciliation to settle the matter or, in accordance with HUD procedures, enter into an enforcement agreement.
- 1. Conciliation proceedings are confidential pursuant to Section 57-21-9(7) (a).
- 2. Any conciliation agreement shall be subject to approval by the Director.
- 3. Any party can enforce the signed and approved conciliation agreement in court proceedings.
- B. Nothing in these rules prevents complainants and respondents from settling a complaint through their own efforts. However, the Division will not dismiss the complaint until the parties' settlement agreement has been submitted to, and approved by, the Director.

R608-1-12. Order of Dismissal-Reconsideration-Right to Private Civil Action.

- A. If the Director finds no reasonable cause to believe that an unlawful housing practice has occurred, or is about to occur, the Director shall issue a Determination and Order dismissing the Complaint.
- B. The complainant may ask the Director to reconsider such order of dismissal by complying with the requirements of Section 63G-4-302 of the Utah Administrative Procedures Act.
- C. The Director shall issue a decision either granting or denying the request for reconsideration.
- 1. If the Director grants reconsideration, the Director shall reopen the investigation, amend the Director's prior Determination

and Order, or take such other necessary action.

2. If the Director denies reconsideration, the Director's Determination and Order is not subject to any additional agency or judicial review. However, the complainant may commence a private civil action pursuant to Section 57-21-12(1).

R608-1-13. Order Finding Unlawful Housing Practice-Appeal-Choice of Forum.

- A. If the Director concludes that an unlawful housing practice has occurred, the Division shall informally attempt to eliminate or correct the unlawful housing practice by conducting a conciliation conference pursuant to R608-1-11.
- B. If conciliation is unsuccessful, the Director shall issue a determination ordering appropriate relief as authorized by Section 57-21-11. The Director's determination shall be made public unless the Director determines that the matter involves a privacy interest entitled to protection by law, or that disclosure is not required to further the purposes of the Act.
- C. A respondent disagreeing with the Director's determination may obtain de novo review by filing a written request for review with the Director within 30 days from the date the Director's determination.
- 1. If no timely request for de novo review is filed, the Director's determination is the Commission's final order and not subject to additional agency or judicial review.
- 2. If a timely request for de novo review is filed, the Director shall:
- a. Notify the parties of such request for review by regular mail at their last known address of record; and
- b. Inform the parties that the review proceeding will be conducted by the Commission's Adjudication Division unless any party elects to have such review conducted in court.
- 3. Any election for court review must be received by the Director within 20 days of the date of mailing of the Director's notice.

R608-1-14. Representation of Complainants.

- A. If a respondent has requested de novo review of the Director's Determination, the Commission shall consider whether the Determination is supported by substantial evidence.
- B. If the Commission concludes the Determination is supported by substantial evidence, the Commission shall provide legal representation to support the Determination in the de novo review proceeding.
- C. If the Commission concludes the Determination is not supported by substantial evidence, the Commission shall not provide legal representation to support the Determination in the de novo review proceeding.
- D. The Commission shall notify the parties of its conclusion regarding the existence or nonexistence of substantial evidence to support the Director's Determination within twenty days from the date the respondent files a request for de novo review.
- E. The Commission's conclusion regarding the existence or nonexistence of substantial evidence to support the Director's Determination is not subject to further agency or judicial review.

R608-1-15. Procedures For De novo Review.

- A. If, in accordance with the provisions of these rules, a de novo review proceeding is to be conducted by the Commission's Adjudication Division, the following standards apply:
- 1. The Division shall refer the matter to the Adjudication Division, which shall designate an Administrative Law Judge to serve as presiding officer;
- 2. The proceeding shall be conducted as a formal agency adjudicative proceeding pursuant to the relevant provisions of the Utah Administrative Procedures Act, Title 63G, Chapter 4;
- 3. Within 30 days from referral, the Administrative Law Judge shall schedule an evidentiary hearing to be held within 120 days of the referral, unless it is impracticable to do so;
 - 4. Any aggrieved party may intervene in the action;
- 5. The Commission shall make final administrative disposition of the complaint within one year after the complaint is filed unless it is impracticable to do so. If the agency is unable to make a final administrative disposition within one year, the Commission shall notify the parties in writing of the reason for the delay.
- B. If, in accordance with the provisions of these rules, a de novo review proceeding is to be conducted in court, the following standards apply:
- 1. If, pursuant to Rule R608-1-14, the Commission has concluded the Director's Determination is supported by substantial evidence, the Commission shall commence a court action to support the Determination. Such action shall be commenced within 30 days from the date of the election for court review.
- 2. If, pursuant to Rule R608-1-14, the Commission has concluded the Determination is not supported by substantial evidence, the Commission shall not commence a court action to support the Determination. In such case, the complainant may commence a civil action in a court of competent jurisdiction as provided by the Act.

R608-1-16. Declaratory Orders.

- A. Purpose. As required by Section 63G-4-503, this rule provides the procedures for submission, review, and disposition of petitions for agency Declaratory Orders on the applicability of statutes, rules, and orders governing or issued by the agency.
 - B. Petition Form and Filing.
- 1. The petition shall be addressed and delivered to the Director who shall mark the petition with the date of receipt.
 - 2. The petition shall:
- a. be clearly designated as a request for an agency Declaratory Order;
 - b. clearly identify the statute, rule, or order to be reviewed;
- c. describe in detail the situation or circumstances in which applicability is to be reviewed;
- d. describe the reason or need for the applicability review, addressing in particular why the review should not be considered frivolous;
- e. include an address and telephone number where the petitioner can be contacted during normal business hours;
- f. declare whether the petitioner has participated in a completed or on-going adjudicative proceeding concerning the same

issue within the past 12 months; and

- g. be signed by the petitioner.
- C. Review.
- 1. the agency shall not review a petition for a Declaratory Order that is:
 - a. not within the jurisdiction and competency of the agency;
 - b. trivial, irrelevant, or immaterial; or
 - c. otherwise excluded by state or federal law.
- 2. The Director shall promptly review and consider the petition and may:
 - a. meet with the petitioner;
 - b. consult with counsel or the Attorney General; or
- c. take any action consistent with law that the agency deems necessary to provide adequate review and due consideration of the petition.
- 3. The Director may issue a Declaratory Order pursuant to Section 63G-4-503(6).
 - D. Administrative Review.
- 1. Administrative review of the Director's Declaratory Order shall be conducted pursuant to Section 63G-4-302.

R608-1-17. Assistance Animals.

- A. General
- 1. Pursuant to the Utah Fair Housing Act and the federal Fair Housing Act, this rule defines the circumstances in which an individual with a disability is entitled to an assistance animal as a reasonable accommodation in a dwelling that would otherwise restrict or prohibit the presence of an animal. The term "assistance animals" as used in this rule means animals that assist, support, or provide service to persons with disabilities and may include or otherwise be referred to as service animals, emotional support animals, assistive animals, or therapy animals.
- 2. The assistance animal must be necessary to afford the individual an equal opportunity to use and enjoy a dwelling or to participate in the housing service or program. This requires a demonstrable relationship between the individual's disability and the assistance the animal provides.
- a. Housing providers are entitled to verify the existence of the individual's disability as well as the need for the assistance animal as an accommodation for that disability if either is not readily apparent. Accordingly, an individual proposing an assistance animal as a reasonable accommodation for a disability may be required to provide documentation form a physician, psychiatrist, or other qualified healthcare professional that the animal provides support that alleviates a symptom or effect of the disability.
- b. Housing providers need not permit an assistance animal as an accommodation to a person with a disability if the provider demonstrates that allowing the assistance animal would impose an undue financial or administrative burden or would fundamentally alter the nature of a housing facility, program or service.
- c. Housing providers are not required to provide an accommodation that poses a direct threat to the health or safety of others. Thus, if a particular assistance animal has a history of dangerous behavior, if the animal is out of control and its handler

does not take effective action to control it, the housing provider is not required to accept the assistance animal.

- B. Relationship of this rule to other laws addressing service animals.
- 1. The federal Fair Housing Act, the Utah Fair Housing Act and this rule establish the standards for assistance animals as a reasonable accommodation in housing.
- 2. This rule does not apply to use of service animals in public areas, common carriers, public conveyances, public accommodations or places of amusement, which are governed by standards set forth in Utah Code Ann. Section 62A-5b-101 et seq., "Rights and Privileges of a Person with a Disability."

KEY: housing, fair housing, discrimination, time
Date of Enactment or Last Substantive Amendment: November 21, 2011
Notice of Continuation: August 29, 2016
Authorizing, and Implemented or Interpreted Law: 57-21-1 et seq.;
63G-4-102 et seq.